

Albumin-Adjusted Calcium and Ionized Calcium

AQ: A

To the Editor:

En1

In a recent study, Smith et al. (1) compared albumin-adjusted calcium (Adj-Ca)¹ with ionized calcium (Ca⁺⁺) in the laboratory records of 2 large cohorts of adult hospital patients, many with renal impairment. They used a bromocresol purple (BCP) albumin method with a “modified Payne formula” for Adj-Ca but did not define the patients used to derive their equation. I am concerned that their suggestion that Adj-Ca should not be used when albumin concentration is <3.0 g/dL (30 g/L) may obscure results of clinical importance. For example, nausea and vomiting in a patient with carcinomatosis, marked hypoalbuminemia, and a normal total calcium may be attributed to a direct effect of the cancer, whereas a raised Adj-Ca indicates that Ca⁺⁺ would reveal medically treatable cancer-induced hypercalcemia.

Not that Ca⁺⁺ is a perfect “gold standard.” In 1984 we reported significant correlations between serum Ca⁺⁺ and bromocresol green (BCG) albumin both in laboratory staff and in 2 hospital populations with no obvious disturbance of calcium homeostasis (2). (Patients from renal and intensive care units and those with abnormal Adj-Ca values had been excluded.) A reduction in albumin of 1.0 g/dL (10 g/L) was associated with a reduction in Ca⁺⁺ of more than one third of its reference range. A clinical study subsequently confirmed experimental evidence that Ca²⁺⁺ correlation with albu-

min is caused by positive protein interference at the reference electrode of analyzers (3).

Smith et al. (1) said that Adj-Ca should not be used when albumin is <3.0 g/dL because there was an inflexion in their plot of the differences between BCP Adj-Ca and Ca⁺⁺ at about this point. In 1996 we confirmed an earlier report by Ashby et al. of the nonlinear relationship between total calcium and BCG albumin (4). We had searched a large laboratory computer database for adult patients from limited departments with normal urea and creatinine and requests for serum calcium and albumin, but with no other data suggestive of disturbed calcium homeostasis. With at least 100 data points at each albumin between 2.0 and 5.1 g/dL, we found 2 significantly different straight lines that intersected at 8.8 mg/dL (2.2 mmol/L) for calcium and 3.7 g/dL for BCG albumin, each at its respective lower reference limit. However, average Adj-Ca values using the overall slope differed little from values using the slope for albumin values <3.7 g/dL, being higher by 0.02 mg/dL (0.005 mmol/L) at 2.5 g/dL and lower by 0.044 mg/dL (0.011 mmol/L) at 3.0 g/dL, differences of little or no clinical significance.

In the same study (4), we reported significant differences between adjustment equations derived as described above from 2 additional databases from hospital laboratories using different analytical instruments and reagents. Each laboratory's equation was verified by its production of an Adj-Ca distribution, which, after the exclusion of a small number of outliers by probit analysis, was identical with its total calcium reference range. We recommended that laboratories should derive adjustment equations from their own data.

Laboratory-based adjustments are supported by the evidence from Bachmann et al. (5) that 24 laboratory methods produced differing median albumin results. Additionally, the immunochemical reference method gave similar albumin values in pooled predialysis renal sera and nonrenal sera, whereas 20 of the 21 commercial automated BCG and BCP systems gave lower ranges in predialysis renal sera. These observations support our practice to not include renal specimens when deriving adjustment equations. As many laboratories' interpretive comments warn and Smith et al. (1) confirm, adjusted calcium values may be too high in renal failure.

Author Contributions: All authors confirmed they have contributed to the intellectual content of this paper and have met the following 4 requirements: (a) significant contributions to the conception and design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data; (b) drafting or revising the article for intellectual content; (c) final approval of the published article; and (d) agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the article thus ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the article are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Authors' Disclosures or Potential Conflicts of Interest: The author declared no potential conflicts of interest.

References

1. Smith JD, Wilson S, Schneider HG. Misclassification of calcium status based on albumin-adjusted calcium: studies in a tertiary hospital setting. *Clin Chem* 2018; 64:1713-22.
2. Butler SJ, Payne RB, Gunn IR, Burns J, Paterson CR. Correlation between serum ionised calcium and serum albumin concentrations in two hospital populations. *Br Med J* 1984;289:948-50.
3. Masters PW, Payne RB. Comparison of hypertonic and isotonic reference electrode junctions for measuring ionized calcium in whole blood: a clinical study. *Clin Chem* 1993;39:1082-5.
4. Barth JH, Fiddy JB, Payne RB. Adjustment of serum total calcium for albumin concentration: effects of non-linearity and of regression differences

© 2019 American Association for Clinical Chemistry
¹ Nonstandard abbreviations: Adj-Ca, albumin-adjusted calcium; Ca⁺⁺, ionized calcium; BCP, bromocresol purple; BCG, bromocresol green.

Letters to the Editor

between laboratories. *Ann Clin Biochem* 1996;33:55-8.

5. Bachmann LM, Yu M, Boyd JC, Bruns DE, Miller WG. State of harmonization of 24 serum albumin measurement procedures and implications for medical decisions. *Clin Chem* 2017;63:770-9.

R. Brian Payne*

*Department of Chemical Pathology
St James's University Hospital
Leeds, UK (Retired)*

*Address correspondence to the
author at:
50 North Park Avenue

Leeds LS8 1EY, United Kingdom
E-mail rbrianpayne@doctors.org.uk.

AQ: B

AQ: C

Previously published online at
DOI: 10.1373/clinchem.2018.300905
